

LIPSITZ & MCALLISTER, LLC

— Intellectual Property Attorneys

Bradford Green, Building 8 755 Main Street Monroe, Connecticut 06468 After

TELEPHONE: (203) 459-0200 FACSIMILE: (203) 459-0201

In re Application of: Application No.: Nesper, et al. 10/731,284 December 9, 2003

Filed: For:

IMPLANT FOR FIXING ADJACENT BONE PLATES

Mail Stop AF

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 Art Unit: 3733

Examiner: R. Shaffer

Sir:

Transmitted herewith is:

Attorney Docket No.: HOE-790

[X] A Response in the above-identified application (5 pages)

[X] Return receipt postage prepaid postcard;

[X] I certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first-class mail in an envelope addressed to: Mail Stop AF, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on December 4, 2007.

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any deficiency in the payment of the required fee(s) or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 50-0625.

Very truly yours,

Douglas M. McAllister Attorney for Applicant(s) Registration No. 37,886 Lipsitz & McAllister, LLC

755 Main Street Monroe, Connecticut 06468

(203) 459-0200





IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of:)	
Nesper, et al.)	Examiner: R. Shaffe
Serial No.: 10/731,284)	Art Unit: 3733
Filed: December 9, 2003)	

For: IMPLANT FOR FIXING ADJACENT BONE PLATES

MAIL STOP AF Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Foatal Service with the United States Foatal Service with the United States and Service with the United States of the United States of the United States on the United States of the United States of

RESPONSE

Dear Sir:

This Response is responsive to the Office Action mailed on October 4, 2007. Please amend the above-identified U.S. patent application as follows:

Summary

Claims 1-19 and 22-33 are pending. Claims 25, 26 and 29-33 are withdrawn.

As a preliminary matter, Applicants' counsel would like to thank the Examiner for the courteous telephone interview conducted on November 29, 2007, the details of which are set forth below.

Claims 1-24, 27, and 28 are rejected on the grounds of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-25 of U.S. patent no. 7,238,188. Claims 1-24, 27, and 28 are provisionally rejected on the grounds of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-26 of copending application no. 10/911,982, and claims 1-35 of copending application no. 11/702,258.